

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/869,023 | 08/06/2001 | Tor Regberg | PU-9843 6811 | | |
| 22840 | 7590 03/24/2003 | | | | |
| AMERSHAM BIOSCIENCES PATENT DEPARTMENT 800 CENTENNIAL AVENUE | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | TELLER, ROY R | | |
| PISCATAWA | AY, NJ 08855 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1654 | 5 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003 | DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/869,023 | REGBERG ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Roy Teller | 1654 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS and the application to become ABAND | be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | August 2004 | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15. | | | | | |
| ,— | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disp sition of Claims | | ., | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inform | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/869,023

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, drawn to a method for selectively enriching/removing a serum albumin

from a mixture of other compounds by contacting the mixture with a ligand.

Group II, claim(s) 9-10, drawn to a method for screening ligand structures.

The inventions listed as groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The method of group II lacks novelty (i.e., it is anticipated by a prior art reference). WO 98/08603 describes experiments performed in order to determine the efficiency of different ligands (such as ,e.g., 2-mercapto benzthiazol) to bind bovine serum albumin, see pages 66-68). The group II claims, which are directed to a method for screening for ligand structures that selectively bind serum albumin, are not considered novel over WO 98/08603. Thus, the special technical feature which links the claims does not provide a contribution over the art (with respect to group II) so unity of invention is lacking.

Art Unit: 1654

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

For R1-R4- select **one** hydrogen, electron-withdrawing group, such as halogen or a lower alkyl group.

For Z and Y- select **one** from oxygen, sulphur or nitrogen.

Applicant is advised that this is a restriction and not an election of species. Amendment of the claims to delete non-elected subject matter is required prior to allowance.

Because the restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's attorney to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Teller whose telephone number is (703) 305-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (703) 306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,023

Art Unit: 1654

RT 1654 3/20/03

RT

BRENDA BRUMBACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 4